www.nature.com/jp

state-of-the-art Infant mortality in the United States

JM Lorenz¹, CV Ananth^{2,3}, RA Polin¹ and ME D'Alton²

The infant mortality rate (IMR) of 6.0 per 1000 live births in the United States in 2013 is nearly the highest among developed countries. Moreover, the IMR among blacks is > twice that among whites—11.11 versus 5.06 deaths per 1000 live births. This higher IMR and racial disparity in IMR is due to a higher preterm birth rate (11.4% of live births in 2013) and higher IMR among term infants. The United States also ranks near the bottom for maternal mortality and life expectancy among the developed nations—despite ranking highest in the proportion of gross national product spent on health care. This suggests that factors other than health care contribute to the higher IMR and racial disparity in IMR. One factor is disadvantaged socioeconomic status. All of the actionable determinates that negatively impact health—personal behavior, social factors, heath-care access and quality and the environment—disproportionately affect the poor. Addressing disadvantaged socioeconomic status by improving access to quality health care and increasing social expenditures would have the greatest impact on the USA's IMR and racial disparity in IMR.

Journal of Perinatology (2016) 36, 797-801; doi:10.1038/jp.2016.63; published online 21 April 2016

INTRODUCTION

Of interest to policy makers is the high infant mortality rate (IMR) in the United States relative to other developed countries, despite spending the largest proportion of the gross national product on total health expenditures.¹ In this paper, we contrast the IMR in the United States with other countries, discuss the racial disparity in IMR in the United States and review causes of infant mortality to understand factors that may contribute to the higher IMR in the United States and racial disparity in IMR.

IMR IN THE UNITED STATES

Despite rising preterm birth (PTB) rates through 2006, the IMR in the United States declined from 1980 to 2013, from 12.6 to 6.0 per 1000 live births.² Nevertheless, in 2013 the IMR in the United States was the third highest among Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) countries³ (Figure 1). Of concern, also, is the racial disparity in infant mortality in the United States. In 2013, the IMR for infants born to non-Hispanic black women was more than double the rate that of infants born to non-Hispanic white mothers-11.11 versus 5.06 deaths per 1000 live births, respectively.² Infant mortality was also higher for infants born to American Indian or Alaskan Native mothers-7.61 deaths per 1000 live births. Infant mortality for infants born to Hispanic and Asian or Pacific Islander mothers—5.0 and 4.07 deaths per 1000 live births, respectively. In 2010 to 2013, the stillbirth rate was also higher among black and American Indian or Alaskan Native mothers (10.53 and 6.22 per 1000 live births plus stillbirths, respectively) compared with among white mothers (4.88 per 1000 live births plus stillbirths).⁶

FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TO THE USA'S HIGH IMR AND RACIAL DISPARITY IN IMR

The relation of high-PTB rate and high IMR

From 1981 through 2006, PTB rates in the United States steadily increased from 9.5% to 12.8%;⁷ since 2006, PTB rates declined to 11.4% in 2013.⁸ Despite this decline, the USA's PTB rate remains one of the highest in comparison with most other industrialized countries. In 2010, excluding births at < 24 weeks (Live births < 24 weeks were excluded because of likely differences in registration practices in registration of early births and deaths^{4,5}), the USA's PTB rate was higher than those in 19 European countries.⁹

Because nearly 70% of infant deaths occur among infants born preterm,¹⁰ the higher PTB rate compared with other industrialized countries is a significant contributor to the higher IMR in the United States. The higher IMR owing to PTB in the United States could be due to differences in gestational age (GA) distribution or the differences in GA-specific mortality. In general, the former is a function of maternal well-being, whereas the latter is a function of obstetrical/neonatal care.¹¹ Table 1 compares the GA-specific IMR in the United States in 2010 with those of 11 European countries.⁹ The United States IMR was the fifth lowest of the 12 countries at 24 to 27 weeks and was the fifth lowest of eight countries at 28 to 31 weeks. At 32 to 36 weeks, the United States mortality rate was the second highest and was the highest at \geq 37 weeks (The relation of the prevalences of stillbirths and PTB at 32 to 36 weeks must be considered in interpreting these data. Lisonkova et al.¹² found an inverse relation between stillbirth and PTB rates at 32 to 36 weeks among 26 European countries and the United States, and speculated that obstetrical interventions were converting compromised fetus from stillbirths to PTBs). These data suggest that access to and quality of obstetrical and neonatal intensive care of preterm infants is not a major cause of the USA's excess

¹Division of Neonatology, Department of Pediatrics, College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA; ²Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA and ³Department of Epidemiology, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA. Correspondence: Dr JM Lorenz, Division of Neonatology, Morgan Stanley Children's Hospital of NY-Presbyterian, 3959 Broadway, CHN 1201, New York 10032, NY, USA. E-mail: jl1084@columbia.edu

Received 16 September 2015; revised 15 February 2016; accepted 9 March 2016; published online 21 April 2016



Figure 1. Infant mortality rates: selected Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development countries, 2013.³ Live births < 24 weeks were excluded because of likely differences in practices in registration of early births and deaths.^{4,5}

Table 1.	Gestational age-specific infant mortality rates per 1000 live
births in	the United States and selected European countries in 2010
(ref. 9)	

		Gestational age (weeks)					
	24–27	28-32	32–36	≥37			
Austria	217.39	34.38	8.01	1.24			
Czech Republic	294.12	40.37	7.19	1.15			
Denmark	198.80	а	7.95	1.11			
England and Wales	245.17	48.29	8.73	1.60			
Finland	203.25	а	9.74	0.97			
Northern Ireland	325.00	а	а	1.96			
Norway	189.78	а	9.87	1.06			
Poland	428.32	98.98	15.43	1.95			
Scotland	282.49	47.01	8.38	1.58			
Sweden	165.08	35.14	8.33	1.10			
Switzerland	308.41	41.75	6.77	1.12			
United States	208.08	44.65	10.20	2.20			
England and Wales pro standards of reliability	ovided 2005 or precision;	data. ^a Figure ; based on	e does not r < 20 death	neet the s in the			

infant mortality. Rather a shift of the GA distribution of births toward more immature GAs compared with European countries is a more important factor. Therefore, understanding what variables may contribute to the higher PTB rate in the United States is relevant to any analysis of IMR rate in the United States.

Maternal smoking,¹³ induced-PTB (both non-medically^{7,14} and medically indicated^{15,16}), induced ovulation and artificial reproductive technologies (ART),^{17,18} multiple gestations unrelated to induced ovulation/ART,¹⁹ teen pregnancy and advanced maternal age,¹¹ maternal obesity²⁰ and disadvantaged socioeconomic status (SES)²¹ are the major factors associated with PTB. Chang

*et al.*²² estimated that non-medically indicated PTB, induced ovulation/ART, multiple gestations unrelated to induced ovulation/ART, medically indicated PTB, advanced maternal age and non-Hispanic black race together accounted for 50% of the increase in PTB rate from 1989 through 2004 in the United States.

Disadvantaged SES is associated with PTB, independent of race.²¹ There is a high correlation between census tract poverty levels and PTB rates.²³ Although reduced access to care may contribute to this association, Foster et al.²⁴ postulated that this risk factor is largely socially-based, but biologically-mediated, maternal psychosocial stress (see Kramer et al.²⁵ for underlying biologic mechanisms). In a study from South Carolina,²⁶ women living in 'disadvantaged neighborhoods' were at increased risk for PTB. Maternal stress was also associated with PTB. However, stressful-life events were associated with higher risk of PTB only in mothers in the disadvantaged neighborhoods; no association was found between the risk of PTB and maternal stress in more advantaged neighborhoods. The authors suggested that these findings might be explained by lesser social and infrastructural resources to buffer stress, as well as harmful strategies for adapting to stress in disadvantaged neighborhoods. Indeed, in another study by the same group, the adjusted odds of having a PTB among mothers with low-social support were 1.9 times greater (95% CI 1.7, 2.1) than among mothers with high-social support.24

The relation of high-PTB rate to the racial disparity in IMR

In all, 55% of the racial disparity in IMR between blacks and whites is accounted for by preterm-related deaths.²⁸ The PTB rate was 13.2% among blacks compared with 8.9% among whites in 2014.⁸ Moreover, the distribution of PTBs is shifted to more immature GAs and the disparity widens as GA decreases (Table 2). However, the racial disparity in IMR is not only due to a shift of the GA distribution to more immature GAs among blacks, but also to disparity in GA-specific mortality. In 2005 to 2009, the IMR was higher among blacks than whites for all GA categories and the

Table 2.	Gestational age distribution of births by maternal race in the
United S	tates in 2014 (ref. 8)

Maternal race/ethnicity	Gestational age (weeks)					
	< 28	28–31	32-33	34–36	37–41	>41
Non-Hispanic white Non-Hispanic black Hispanic	0.5% 1.5% 0.6%	0.7% 1.5% 0.8%	1.2% 1.7% 1.1%	6.5% 8.4% 6.5%	90.6% 86.4% 90.6%	0.5% 0.3% 0.3%

disparity widens as GA decreases (Table 3).²⁹ These data suggest that access to and quality of health care also contribute to the racial disparity in the IMR.

Several factors contribute to this racial disparity in PTB. It is estimated that interpregnancy intervals < 12 months account for 8% of PTBs among black women compared with 4% among white women.³⁰ Fiscella³¹ estimated that 50% of the difference in rate of PTB may be accounted for by the differences in urogenital infection rates. Although, the risk of PTB is greater for black women than white women at all ages, the risk of PTB increases at a greater rate with increasing maternal age in black women.²⁵ It is postulated that greater cumulative exposure of black women to risk factors throughout life is likely responsible for this increase.³² Obesity contributes to the racial disparity in PTB because rates of obesity are highest among black women of childbearing age compared with white and Hispanic women.³³ Disadvantaged SES contributes to the increased-PTB rate among blacks.²⁶ This is likely in part due to decreased access to and lower quality of health care.^{34–37} However, studies have shown persistent racial disparity in PTB in second-generation, high-income black women, even controlling for other known risk factors.³⁸⁻⁴¹ Collins et al.⁴¹ compared PTB rates for black and white mothers, who resided in high-income neighborhoods in Chicago both at the time of their own birth and at the time of birth of their infant. Even after adjusting for maternal birth weight, age, education, marital status, smoking and prenatal-care utilization, the odds of secondgeneration high-income black mothers delivering a preterm, very low-birth weight infant were 2.4 times greater than those of second-generation high-income white mothers. These authors suggested that racial discrimination was a plausible explanation for this disparity. (See Dominguez⁴² for a review of this construct.)

Studies have not supported race/ethnicity as a biologic/genetic risk, distinct from disadvantaged SES, for PTB. Race represents social, political and cultural stratification.⁴³ There is more genetic variation within than between races.⁴⁴ Epidemiologic data also refute the concept that genetics contribute significantly to racial disparity in PTB.⁴⁵ York *et al.*⁴⁶ reported that in addition to a higher PTB rate, the variance in GA among blacks is almost twice that among whites. They estimated that fetal genetic factors explained 3.7% of the variance in PTB rate among blacks and 35.2% among whites; maternal genetic factors explained 13.8% of the variation among blacks and 13.4% among whites. However, environmental factors explained 82.5% of the variance in PTB rate among blacks and 51.0% among whites. Any role for genetic risk factors is probably mediated by epigenetic changes or complete gene-by-gene or gene-by-environment interactions.²⁵

The contribution of the higher IMR among full term infants to the USA's higher IMR and racial disparity in IMR

MacDorman *et al.*⁹ estimated that, excluding births < 24 weeks gestation, 39% of the higher IMR in the United States compared with Sweden was due to the higher proportion of PTBs in the United States (9.8 versus 5.8%); however, 47% was due to the

Maternal race/ethnicity	Gestational age (weeks)					
	< 32	32-33	34–36	37–38	39–41	>41
Non-Hispanic white Non-Hispanic black Hispanic Other race	90.1 105.0 92.3 121.4	19.3 20.5 20.0 24.1	7.8 10.2 7.7 10.1	2.9 4.3 2.4 4.3	1.7 2.9 1.5 2.2	2.7 3.9 2.9 3.7

higher IMR for infants born at > 36 weeks gestation in the United States.

Nor does the increased prevalence of PTB and increased GA-specific infant mortality solely account for the racial disparity in IMR. The mortality rate due to all five leading causes of infant death was higher in blacks than whites in 2013.⁶ Infant mortality per 100 000 live births in blacks compared with whites due to congenital malformations, deformations and chromosomal anomalies was 142 versus 115; due to disorders related to short gestation or low-birth weight was 261 versus 74; due to maternal complications of pregnancy was 86 versus 30; due to SIDS was 73 versus 40; and due to accidents was 63 versus 30.

The contribution of disadvantaged SES to the higher IMR and racial disparity in $\ensuremath{\mathsf{IMR}}$

Among the 30 developed nations in the OECD, the United States ranks near the bottom not only for IMR, but also for maternal mortality and life expectancy from birth.⁴⁷ This suggests that endemic factors other than health care contribute to the higher IMR. One such factor is disadvantaged SES. All of the actionable determinates which negatively impact health—personal behavior, social factors, heath-care access and quality and the environment —disproportionately affect the poor.

It is likely that disadvantaged SES contributes to the higher IMR in the United States compared with other countries and to the racial disparity in IMR. In 2008, income inequality in the United States Gini coefficient (The Gini coefficient is a standard measure of income inequality which ranges from 0 (when everybody has identical incomes) to 1 (when all income goes to only one person).) was 0.38; of 21 other countries in the OECD for which data were available, only Mexico's and Turkey's coefficients were higher.⁴⁸ Yet, the percent of the gross national product expended on social service in the United States was 13.3, which was < 24 of the other 30 countries for which data were provided in 2005.49 The USA's ratio of aggregate social service expenditures (Social service expenditures include public and private spending on old age pensions and support services for older adults, survivors benefits, disability and sickness cash benefits, family support, employment programs, unemployment benefits, housing support and other social policy areas excluding health expenditures) to aggregate health service expenditures (Health service expenditures include the public and private spending on curative care, rehabilitative care, long-term care, ancillary services, such as diagnostic imaging, laboratory test and patient transport; outpatient medical goods, prevention and public health services, health administration and health insurance and health care capital expenditures; and health care education and health care research and development) of 0.81 was the second lowest among 30 countries in the OECD. The ratio was lower only for Mexico at 0.78. The ratio was > 1.2 in the remaining 28 countries. In a regression model adjusted for gross national product per capita, higher social expenditures and a higher ratio of social to health services

expenditures was associated with lower IMR, but health service expenditures were not.⁴⁹

STRATEGIES TO DECREASE THE IMR IN THE UNITED STATES

Shifting the distribution of PTBs to more mature GAs would reduce IMR in the United States. Unfortunately, the likelihood of this is limited by our poor understanding of the causes of PTB. Moreover, of the three interventions proven to be effective in decreasing the risk of PTB—reduction of antenatal smoking,⁵⁰ progesterone^{51,52} and cervical cerclage⁵³—the latter two are applicable to only a fraction of women at risk for PTB. Reduction of antenatal smoking, elimination of non-medically indicated PTB⁵⁴ and more responsible use of induction of ovulation/ART⁵⁵ will have a greater impact on PTB rates.

Because black infants have twice the IMR of whites, reduction in the racial disparity would also reduce the IMR in the United States. It is notable, however, that the IMR for infants born to white mothers in 2014 (5.06 per 1000 live births²) was still > 27 other countries in the OECD (Figure 1).

Concenital anomalies were second to PTB as the leading causes of neonatal mortality, accounting for 21.7 and 47.3% of neonatal deaths, respectively, in the United States in 2009.⁵⁶ Sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS), congenital anomalies and unintentional injuries were the major causes of postnatal mortality, accounting for 22.2, 17.2 and 11.5% of postnatal deaths, respectively. Therefore, strategies to reduce PTBs will have the greatest impact on neonatal mortality and the racial disparity in neonatal mortality, but will have little impact on postnatal mortality. Strategies to reduce deaths due to congenital anomalies and SIDS will impact neonatal mortality, postnatal mortality and the racial disparity in IMR. More attention is being focused on disadvantaged SES and racism as fundamental factors in the USA's high IMR and racial disparity in IMR. Addressing disadvantaged SES by increasing and improving access to guality health care and social expenditures in the United States would have the greatest impact on deaths during the neonatal and postnatal periods, and the racial disparity in IMR. Addressing racial discrimination (although a much greater challenge) might also reduce the IMR and the racial disparity in IMR independent of addressing disadvantaged SES.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge Jonathan Gordon for his critical review of the manuscript. This work was supported by the Health Policy Center at New York-Presbyterian.

REFERENCES

- 1 World Health Statistics 2015, Part II Global Health Indicators (Table 7); Health expenditures (p 126-132). 2015. Available at: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/ 10665/170250/1/9789240694439_eng.pdf?ua = 1&. (Accessed on 24 August 2015).
- Mathews TJ, MacDorman MF, Thoma ME. Infant moratlity statistics from 2013 from linked birth/infant death data set. *NVSS* 2015; 64: 1–28.
 Organization for Economic Development and Cooperation StatExtracts, Health,
- Health Status, Maternal and Infant Mortality 2015. Available at: http://stats.oecd. org/Index.aspx?DataSetCode = HEALTH_STAT# (accessed on 24 August 2015).
- 4 Joseph KS, Liu S, Rouleau J, Lisonkova S, Hutcheon JA, Sauve R et al. Influence of definition based versus pragmatic birth registration on international comparisons of perinatal and infant mortality: population based retrospective study. BMJ 2012; 344: e746.
- 5 Deb-Rinker P, León JA, Gilbert NL, Rouleau J, Andersen AM, Bjarnadóttir RI et al. Differences in perinatal and infant mortality in high-income countries: artifacts of birth registration or evidence of true differences? BMC Pediatr 2015: 15: 112–126.
- 6 MacDorman MF, Gregory ECW. Fetal and perinatal mortality: United States, 2013. NVSR 2015; 64: 1–23.

- 7 Martin JA, Michelle JK, Osterman MHS, Sutton PD. Are PTBs on the decline in the United States? Recent data from the national vital statistics system. *NCHS Data Brief* 2012; **39**: 1–8.
- 8 Hamilton BE, Martin JA, Osterman MJK, Curtin SC, Mathews TJ. Births: final data for 2013. NVSR 2015; 64(12): 1–68.
- 9 MacDorman MF, Mathews TJ, Mohango AD, Zeitlin J. International comparisons of infant mortality and related factors: United States and Europe. NVSR 2014; 63(5):1–6.
- 10 MacDorman MF. Race and ethnic disparities in fetal mortality, preterm birth, and infant mortality in the United States: an overview. Sem Neonatol 2011; 35: 200–208.
- 11 Ananth CV, Liu S, Joseph KS, Kramer MS. Ethnic disparities in fetal mortality, preterm Birth, and infant mortality in the United Steillance System. A comparison of foetal and infant mortality in the United States and Canada. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2009; **38**: 480–489.
- 12 Lisonkova S, Sabr Y, Butler B, Joseph K. International comparisons of preterm birth: higher rates of late preterm birth are associated with lower rates of stillbirth and neonatal death. *BJOG* 2012; **119**: 1630–1639.
- 13 Dietz PM, England LJ, Shapiro-Mendoza CK, Tong VT, Farr SL, Callaghan WM. Infant morbidity and mortality attributable to prenatal smoking in the U.S. Am J Prev Med 2010; 39: 45–52.
- 14 Ananth CV, Joseph KS, Oyelese Y, Demissie K, Vintzileos AM. Trends in preterm births and perinatal mortality among singleton births: United States, 1989 through 2000. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2005; 105: 1084–1091.
- 15 Lisonkova S, Hutcheon JA, Joseph KS. Temporal trends in neonatal outcomes following iatrogenic preterm delivery. *BMC Preg Childbirth* 2011; **11**: 39.
- 16 VanderWeele TJ, Lantos JD, Lauderdale DS. Rising PTB rates, 1989-2004: changing demographics or changing obstetric practice? Soc Sci Med 2012; 74: 196–201.
- 17 Jackson RA, Gibson KA, Wu YW, Croughan MS. Perinatal outcomes in singletons following in vitro fertilization: a meta–analysis. Obstet Gynecol 2004; 103: 551–563.
- 18 Merrit TA, Goldstein M, Philips R, Peverini R, Rodriquez, Oshiro B. Impact of ART in California: an analysis of maternity outcomes and insights into the added burden of neonatal intensive care. J Perinatal 2014; 34: 345–350.
- 19 Blondel B, Kogan MD, Alexander GR, Dattani N, Kramer MS, Macfarlane A et al. The impact of the increasing number of multiple births on the rates of preterm birth and low birthweight: an international study. Am J Pub Health 2002; 92: 1323–1330.
- 20 Gould JB, Mayo J, Shaw GM, Stevenson DK. Swedish and American studies show that initiatives to decrease maternal obesity could play a key role in reducing preterm birth. Acta Paediatr 2014; 103: 586–591.
- 21 Blumenshine P, Egerter S, Barclay CJ, Cubbin C, Braverman PA. Socioeconomic disparities and birth outcomes. A systematic review. Am J Prev Med 2010; 39: 263–272.
- 22 Chang HH, Larson J, Blencowe H, Spong CY, Howson CP, Cairns-Smith S *et al.* Preventing PTBs: analysis of trends and potential reductions with interventions in 39 countries with very high human development index. *Lancet* 2013; **381**: 223–234.
- 23 Krieger N, Chen JT, Waterman PD, Rehkopf DH, Subramanian SV. Painting a truer picture of US socioeconomic and racial/ethnic health inequalities: the public health disparities geocoding project. Am J Pub Health 2005; 95: 312–323.
- 24 Foster HW, Wu L, Bracken MB, Semenya K, Thomas J, Thomas J. Intergenerational effects of high socioeconomic status on low birthweight and PTB in African Americans. J Natl Med Assoc 2000; 92: 213–221.
- 25 Kramer MR, Hogue CJ, Dunlop AL, Menon R. Preconceptional stress and racial disparities in PTB: an overview. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2011; 90: 1307–1316.
- 26 Nkansah-Amankra S, Luchok KJ, Hussey JR, Watkins K, Liu X. Effects of maternal stress on low birth weight and preterm birth outcomes across neighborhoods of South Carolina, 2000–2003. *Matern Child Health J* 2010; 14: 215–226.
- 27 Nkansah-Amankra S, Dhawain A, Hussey JR, Luchok KJ. Maternal social support and neighborhood income inequality as predictors of low birth weight and preterm birth outcome disparities: analysis of South Carolina pregnancy risk assessment and Monitoring System Survey, 2000–2003. *Matern Child Health J* 2010; **14**: 774–785.
- 28 MacDorman MF, Mathews TJ. Understanding racial and ethnic disparities in US infant mortality rates. NCHS Data Brief 2011; 74: 1–8.
- 29 United States vital statistics data 2005-09. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/ data_access/vitalstatsonline.htm. (accessed on 1 July 2014).
- 30 Khoshnood B, Lee KS, Wall S, Hsieh HL, Mittendorf R. Short inter-pregnancy intervals and the risk of adverse birth outcome among five racial/ethnic groups in the United States. Am J Epidemiol. 1998; 148: 798–805.
- 31 Fiscella K. Racial disparities in PTBs. The role of urogenital infections. *Pub Health Rep* 1996; **11**: 104–113.
- 32 Lu MC, Hafton N. Racial and ethnic disparities in birth outcomes: a life-course prospective. *Mat Child Health J* 2003; **7**: 13–30.

800

- 33 Flegal KM, Carroll MD, Ogden CL, Curtin LR. Prevalence and trends in obesity among US adults, 1999–2008. *JAMA* 2010; **303**: 235–241.
- 34 Wise PH. The anatomy of a disparity in infant mortality. *Annu Rev Public Health* 2003; **24**: 341–362.
- 35 Paul DA, Locke R, Zook K, Leef KH, Stefano JL, Colmorgen G. Racial differences in prenatal care of mothers delivering very low birth weight infants. *J Perinatol* 2006; 26: 74–78.
- 36 Herbert PL, Chassin MR, Howell EA. The contribution of geography to black/white differences in the use of low neonatal mortality hospitals in New York City. *Med Care* 2011; **49**: 200–206.
- 37 Partridge S, Balayla J, Holcroft CA, Abenhaim HA. Inadequate prenatal care utilization and risks of infant mortality and poor birth outcome: a Retrospective Analysis of 28,729,765 U.S. Deliveries over 8 Years. *Am J Perinatol* 2012; **29**: 787–794.
- 38 Collins J, David R, Symons R, Handler A, Wall S, Dwyer L. Low-income African American mothers' perception of exposure to racial discrimination and infant birth weight. *Epidemiol* 2000; 11: 337–339.
- 39 Foster HW, Wu L, Bracken MB, Semenya K, Thomas J, Thomas J. Intergenerational effects of high socioeconomic status on low birthweight and preterm birth in African Americans. *J Natl Med Assoc* 2000; **92**: 213–221.
- 40 Mustillo S, Krieger N, Gunderson E, Sidney S, McCreath H, Kiefe C. Self-reported experiences of racial discrimination and Black-White differences in preterm and low-birth-weight deliveries: the CARDIA study. *Am J Pub Health* 2004; **94**: 2125–2131.
- 41 Collins JW, David RJ, Simon DM, Prachand NG. PTB among African American and white women with a lifelong residence in high-income Chicago neighborhoods: an exploratory study. *Ethnic Dis* 2007; **17**: 113–117.
- 42 Dominguez TP. Adverse birth outcomes in African American women: the social context of persistent reproductive disadvantage. Soc Work Pub Health 2011; 26: 3–16.
- 43 Marks J. New information, enduring questions: race, genetics, and medicine in the 21st century. *GeneWatch* 2014; 18: 11–16.
- 44 Barbujani G, Colonna V. Human genetic diversity: frequently asked questions. *Trends Genet* 2010; **26**: 285–296.

- 45 David R, Collins J. Disparities in infant mortality: what's genetics got to do with it? *Am J Pub Health* 2007; **97**: 1191–1197.
- 46 York TP, Strauss JF III, Neale MC, Eaves LJ. Racial differences in genetic and environmental risk to preterm birth. *PLoS One* 2010; **5**: e12391.
- 47 Schroeder SA. We can do better improving the health of the American people. *N Eng J Med* 2007; **357**: 1221–1228.
- 48 Organization for Economic Development and Cooperation, An Overview of Growing Income Inequalities in OECD Countries: Main Findings 2011. Available at: www.oecd.org/els/soc/49499779.pdf (accessed on 24 August 2015).
- 49 Bradley EH, Elkins BR, Herrin J, Elbel B. Heath and social services expenditures: associations with health outcomes. *BMJ Qual Saf* 2011; **20**: 826–831.
- 50 Lumley J, Chamberlain C, Dowswell T, Oliver S, Oakley L, Watson L. Interventions for promoting smoking cessation during pregnancy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2009; (3): CD001055.
- 51 Fonseca EB, Celik E, Parra M, Singh M, Nicolaides KH. Progesterone and the risk of PTB among women with a short cervix. N Engl J Med 2007; 357: 462–469.
- 52 Hassan SS, Romero R, Vidyadhari D, Fusey S, Baxter JK, Khandelwal M *et al.* Vaginal progesterone reduces the rate of PTB in women with a sonographic short cervix: a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. PREGNANT Trial. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2011; **38**: 18–31.
- 53 Owen J, Hankins G, Iams JD, BerghellaV, Sheffield JS, Perez-Delboy A *et al.* Multicenter randomized trial of cerclage for PTB prevention in high-risk women with shortened midtrimester cervical length. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2009; **201**(375): e1–e8.
- 54 Clark SL, Frye DR, Meyers JR, Belfort MA, Dildy GA, Kofford S *et al.* Reduction in elective delivery at < 39 weeks of gestation: comparative effectiveness of 3 approaches to change and the impact on neonatal intensive care admission and stillbirth. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2010; **203**: e1–e6.
- 55 Dickey RP. The relative contribution of assisted reproductive technologies and ovulation induction to multiple births in the United States 5 years after the Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology/American Society for Reproductive Medicine recommendation to limit the number of embryos transferred. *Fertil Steril* 2007; 88: 1554–1561.
- 56 Herron M. Deaths: leading causes for 2009. Natl Vital Stat Rep 2012; 61: 1-96.